Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/743,693	ALLEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ehud Gartenberg	3746	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) Ehud Gartenberg.	(3) Robert Reeser.		
(2) Patrick Rasche.	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>4 April 2005</u>	Time: AM w/Rasche ar	Time: AM w/Rasche and PM w/Reeser	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	oplicant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: NA			
Claims discussed: 1, 2, 6, 10, 14, 17, 18			
Prior art documents discussed: Vdoviak 4,989,406			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G Agreement was reached on the Examiner's amendment. Co 2 nd paragraph, claims 6 and 14 were amended to define ov	laim 1 was amended to obviate a pe		
Part III.		·	
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of the interview in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	n. The examiner will provide a variete record of the substance of	vritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	licant/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)	